

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/668,139	09/22/2003	Rich Sugimae	0EKM-104904	2015
7590 . 06/01/2005			EXAMINER	
Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
48th Floor 333 South Hope Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1448			3711	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/668,139	SUGIMAE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen L. Blau	3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply on period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nuary 2005.	7			
2a)□	·					
3)[	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Rurany	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv	tion No			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· ====================================			

Application/Control Number: 10/668,139 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-17, drawn to a ferrule/golf club, classified in class 473, subclass
     308.
  - II. Claims 18-24, drawn to a method of assembling a head, classified in class473, subclass 308.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of a ferrule/golf club and a method of assembling a head are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the ferrule/golf club head can be made by another and materially different process as a ferrule which screws down on a hosel until the ferrule is unable to be rotated.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,139 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

Group I (Type of Ferrule)

a. Species 1 (Figs. 1-7):

b. Species 2 (Fig. 8-10):

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is uncertain what claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/668,139 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was not made to Kyriacos Tsircou (Reg. No. 53,705) on 26 May 2005 to request an oral election to the complexity of the restriction.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 26 May 2005

STEPHEN BLAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER